THE INFLUENCE OF CATALO ORGANIC SPRAY MATERIALS ON THE INTERNAL STRUCTURE AND PROTOSYNTHATIC ACTIVITY OF PROCH POLICO

by

KWONG SHUE SHAN

B. S., Lingnan University, 1942

A THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Horticulture

KANSAS STATE COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE AND APPLIED SCIENCE Drewment LO 2668 T4 1949 S5 c.2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
LITERATUG REVIEW	. 2
General Structure of Leaf	2
Differentiation of Dorsiventral Leaves	4
Factors Affecting the Anatomy of Leaves	5
Factors Affecting the Rate of Photosynthetic Activity of Leaves	7
Effect of Spray Materials on the Photosynthesis of Leaves	. 8
Effect of Spray Materials on the Internal Structure of the Leaf	10
Quantitative Method Used in Determining the Rate of Photosynthetic Activity of Leaves	11
MATERIALS AND METHODS	13
Determining Photosynthetic Activity	13
Internal Structure of Leaves; Collecting and Dabedding	14
PRESENTATION OF DATA	17
	48
SUMMARY	50
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	51
LITERATURE CITED	52

THTRODUCTION

It has been shown that certain inorganic spray materials reduced the rate of photosynthetic activity behavior of apple leaves, Christopher (1935), Clore (1936), Heinicke (1938) and Pickett and Birkeland (1942). Pickett and Birkeland (1942) studied the influence of a combined spray of liquid lime-sulphur and lead arsenate on the internal structure of apple leaves. Heinicke (1938) studied the effect of a lime-sulphur 1-40 spray on the rate of photosynthesis of an entire ten-year-old Baldwin apple tree.

The comparatively recent introduction of Chlordane and Fermate as spray materials raises the question as to whether the rate of photosynthetic activity of the plant might be affected by these organic spray compounds. The desirability of spray materials used on fruit trees depends not only on their value in disease or insect control but also on their physiological effect on the tree. Thus the purpose of this study was an attempt to determine (1) whether the organic spray materials had an effect on the internal structure (2) whether the organic spray materials affected the rate of photosynthesis (3) whether these variations, if found, are directly correlated in the peach foliage.

LIT MATURE A VIEW

General Structure of Leaf

Haberlandt (1928) stated that the palisade mesophyll is considered the most important photosynthetic tissue of the leaf. The upper epidermis of the leaf is always underlain by a one to many-layered palisade-tissue. The originally prismatic photosynthetic elements show a marked inclination to become cylindrical, so that the radical walls are particularly liable to separate from one another. This feature is partly connected with the necessity for the presence of air-spaces in immediate contact with the photosynthetic cells. A small group of from 2 to 10 palisade-cells converge at their lower ends so as to form a little fan-shaped group resting upon a single underlying cell, the upper end of which is correspondingly dilated in a funnel-shaped manner. The obvious inference is that these supporting elements are collecting-cells, which receive the photosynthetic products from all the members of a group of palisade cells, and transmit them more or less directly to the main channels of translocation.

The intermediary tissue, which constitutes the physiological link connecting the photosynthetic tissue with the efferent channels, is represented by the familiar spongy parenchyma. It lies beneath the palisade layers, and generally consists of elements provided with a number of tangentially directed branches, constituting so many supply-pipes, through which the synthetic products are conveyed from the palisade tissue to the ultimate branches of the vascular reticulum. The spongy parenchyma contains some chlorophyll and is capable of photosynthetic activity in a minor degree. It also acts as the principal ventilating tissue of the leaf by virtue of the numerous air spaces.

Eames and MacDaniel (1947) stated that the photosynthetic tissue between the upper and lower epidermises consists typically of thin-walled parenchyma known as mesophyll. This tissue usually forms the larger part of the substance of the leaf. The cells of the mesophyll show great variation in shape and arrangement, but in general, they are grouped in two classes: the palisade parenchyma or palisade cells, and the spongy parenchyma or spongy mesophyll. In the former the cells are elongated and more or less cylindrical and arranged in one or more regular, relatively compact layers near the ventral of the leaf with the long axis of the cells perpendicular to the leaf surface.

The palisade cells, which in transverse sections of leaves appear to be closely packed together, are really usually separated from each other or at least exposed to air space over a part of their surface. In many species, these elongated cells are joined end to end to form filaments which connect with the upper epidermis at one end and with the bundle sheath at the other. In leaves that stand more or less vertically or hang in a drooping position, palisade parenchyma may occur on both sides. A frequent modification of the columnar palisade cell

is the cone-shape type, which lies with its larger and against the epidermis.

In the spongy parenchyma, the cells lack regularity in shape and are arranged loosely, so that a large part of their surface is exposed to the gases in the intercellular space. Some cells are irregular in shape, with radiating arms connecting with the arms of similar cells, thus making an irregular network of green tissue. The varying internal structural conditions in the leaf are advantageous to the function of photosynthesis. These are, in part, the exposure of a large number of chloroplasts to sunlight, the exposure of a large cell membrane surface to the intercellular space where interchange of gases takes place. Such an arrangement of the cells in relation to each other and to the vascular bundles makes it possible for the products of photosynthesis to be removed rapidly and the cells supplied with water and mineral nutrients.

Differentiation of Dorsiventral Leaves

According to Haberlandt (1928), the differentiation of palisade cells is always initiated by the appearance of active anticlinal division in approximately isodiametric mother-cells, and the palisade cells never arise from the mere elongation of isodiamtric meristem elements. These partitions appear at different stages of development in different plants.

Mounts (1932) stated that the cell layers begin to differentiate into epidermis, palisade and spongy mesophyll in <u>Vitis vulpina</u> L. and <u>Catalpa bigmonloides</u> walt, when the blade is from 5 to 8 millimeters long.

Avery (1933) worked with tobacco plants and found that cell divisions cease first in the epidermis, followed by the spongy mesophyll, and then in the palisade. Layers in the palisade and spongy mesophyll were multiplied in a plane parallel to the surface of the leaf. When the leaf was 1/80 to 1/75 of its final size, the cells of the palisade mesophyll began to acquire their characteristic shape.

Factors Affecting the Anatomy of Leaves

Light. Eames and MacDaniels (1947) stated that the number of palisade layers and the density of the cell structure in those layers depend largely, either directly or indirectly, upon light intensity.

Bergen (1904) found that sun leaves of evergreen angiosperms were thicker than the shade leaves; the cells next to the epidermis were larger in the sun leaves. Sun leaves were usually narrower than shade leaves in proportion to their length.

That the leaves of sunflowers, water pepper and castorbean had a better development of mesophyll and were thicker when grown in full sunlight than when grown in shade was found by Penfound (1931, 1932).

McDougall and Penfound (1928) stated that leaves from dense shade were thinner, had more surface, with fewer palisade cells than leaves of the same plant in maximum sunlight. Clements and Long (1935), working with Helianthus, found that the palisade tissue consistently composed more than 50 percent of the leaf thickness, and that the greater the percentage of illumination, the greater the thickness of the leaf. The leaves of <u>Cormus florida</u> L. were somewhat thicker on the south side than on the north side of the same tree was reported by Shank (1938). He also found that leaves were thicker and somewhat smaller in the open than in the wood.

Weaver and Clements (1938) stated that leaves from the interior of a lilae bush or the crown of a linden or a hard maple tree are much thinner than those exposed to full sunchine. Shade results in thin leaves often with a single layer of palisade cells and loosely arranged chlorenchyma. A variety of plants grown under 1 to 20 percent light developed only one layer of palisade tissue; those under 70 percent had 2 distinct layers.

<u>Position of Leaf.</u> Cowart (1935) reported that the thickness of leaf decreases from the base toward the median portion of the shoot and then increases from that point to the apex of the shoot.

Soil Moisture. Clements (1904) found that the looser arrangement and thinner structure of the mesophyll cells were caused by increase of soil moisture. The leaves of sunflower, castorbean, and water pepper were found thicker when the plants were grown in soil of high water content than when grown in soil of low water content. The number of rows of palisade and spongy

cells was constant under all soil moisture conditions, but deeper the palisade and spongy mesophyll was the result if grown in soil of higher water content.

<u>Phitrition Supply</u>. Lutman (1934) worked on rape plants and showed that the length of palisade cells shortened when any variation was made from a complete mutrient solution, and buckwheat showed even greater variation than rape.

Factors Affecting the Rate of Photosynthetic Activity of Leaves

According to Miller (1938), the factors that influence the rate of photosynthetic activity of loaf may be divided into external factors and internal factors. The external factors that affect photosynthesis are the carbon dioxide supply, light, temperature, water supply, and numerous other factors essential to the general growth and vigor of the plant. The known internal factors that play a part in the process are the chlorophyll content and the protoplasmic factors.

Pickett (1934) stated that orchard grown Livland leaves have a more extensive intercellular space than orchard grown Delicious leaves and apparently these differences are reflected in the photosynthetic behavior of the two varieties. He suggested that the more open mesophyll of the orchard grown leaves may be one of the contributing factors in enabling them to be more active in carbon dioxide assimilation than those of greenhouse grown leaves.

Heinicke and Childers (1936) reported that the average rate of apparent respiration per hour of an entire apple tree during the night period amounts to considerably less than 10 percent of the average hourly rate of apparent photosynthesis during the day. The rate of apparent photosynthesis during the day, however, may be depressed 20 to 30 percent by respiration.

It was reported by Waugh (1939) that under fairly uniform external condition, the rate of assimilation of apple leaves is irregular. He thought that internal factors play a significant part in the assimilation of the apple leaf.

Effect of Spray Materials on the Photosynthesis of Leaves

Hoffman (1935) stated that lime-sulphur residues on foliage decreased the rate of photosynthesis. The decrease in the rate of photosynthesis was much more than offset by any increase that took place in the rate of respiration. He thought that there seems to be a tendency for the sprayed leaves to show a slightly greater rate of apparent respiration than the unsprayed trees.

That floatation sulphur may cause a reduction in carbon dioxide assimilation of the apple leaves was reported by Christopher (1935).

Heinicke (1938), using a lime-sulphur 1-40 spray on an entire ten-year-old Baldwin apple tree, found that during the 5 days after the first spray on July 6 to 7, the foliage of the sprayed tree was only about one-half as active as during

the proceeding 6 days, while at the same time the check tree showed a slight increase in average daily rate.

Heinicke (1938) found that finely divided sulphur dust, compared with lime-sulphur solution, has relatively little influence on the rate of photosynthesis of the leaves of an entire tree.

Southwick and Childers (1939) studied the effect of 4-6-100 Bordeaux mixture on the rate of photosynthesis and transpiration of Stayman apple leaves using the method, which was used by Reinicke and Hoffman in 1935, for carbon dioxide analysis. The majority of the leaves received 1,000 to 5,500 foot candles of light depending on their distance from the light source and shading from other leaves. Three applications of Bordeaux were made at two-to five-day intervals under temperature conditions of 50, 60, 70, 82 and 100 degrees Fahrenheit. At temperature levels of 70, 82 and 100 degrees F. there were reductions in photosynthesis of 9 to 14 percent after the third application. When the spray residue was carefully removed from the leaf surface with moist cotton there was complete recovery in photosynthesis.

Pickett (1948) reported that 50 percent wettable D.D.T. (dichlorodiphenyl-trichloroethane) appeared to have its major effect on the respiration of the young peach leaves, in which case a marked increase in respiration was apparent. On leaves which appeared to be fully mature and completely functional, D.D.T. seemed to have a depressing effect.

Murphy (1939), using lime-sulphur (1-40), Cupro K (5-100), Coposil (5-100), Bordeaux (6-8-10) on ten 5-year-old vigorous Montmorency cherry trees, found that no significant differences of total photosynthate (corrected photosynthesis) existed among the means of the Coposil, Bordeaux and lime-sulphur sprayed leaves, and thus, any variation could not be definitely attributed to any effect of the sprays themselves.

Fieniazek and Christopher (1944) reported that apparent photosynthesis is reduced by Fermate and by lauryl pyridium chloride on apple leaves.

Effect of Spray Materials on the Internal Structure of the Leaf

Pickett and Birkeland (1942), using liquid lime-sulphur and lead arsenate as a combined spray, applied on different varieties of apples under greenhouse and field conditions, found that the spray materials used shocked or checked normal cell development with each application consistently throughout the growing season in both greenhouse grown and field grown leaves for all varieties tested. The development of the palisade tissue in leaves which were ten weeks old before they received a spray application was checked in growth to a level between the unsprayed and regularly sprayed leaves. The ratio of the internally exposed surface to the external surface (R value) and total depth of palisade layers of unsprayed leaves were much greater for any variety and location than for the corresponding sprayed leaves. They also concluded that the R value and total

depth of palisade layers of leaves ranked in ascending order:
York, Jonathan, and Wealthy for any treatment, location, or year,

Quantitative Method Used in Determining the Rate of Photosynthetic Activity of Leaves

Sachs (1884) is recognized as the first to use the dry weight method in determining the rate of photosynthesis of leaves. He removed one-half of an attached leaf. along the midrib of the leaf blade, from the plant at the beginning of the experiment in determining the dry weight of this severed portion. The other half of the leaf, after exposure to light for a given period. was removed and treated in the same manner for dry weight determination. This method is sometimes called "half-leaf method". The increase of dry weight of a given area of leaf in an experiment is indicated that a certain amount of carbohydrate has been formed in photosynthesis. Sachs noticed that the gain of dry weight does not represent the total gain of carbohydrate produced in photosynthesis. He thought that translocation of products from the leaf takes place during the period of photosynthesis, while a certain amount of carbohydrate is utilized in respiration, which proceeds simultaneously in the process.

In order to obtain the corrected rate of photosynthesis, he added the loss of dry weight during the night period to the gain of dry weight in the same unit leaf area found during the day.

To eliminate the errors due to irregular veins and the lack of symmetry in the halves or portions of the leaf, Ganong (1908) designed a leaf punch for removing circular leaf discs with an area of one square centimeter or a diameter of 1.128 centimeters.

Miller (1938) used the dry weight method in determining the corrected rate of photosynthetic activity of Dwarf Yellow mile under field conditions at Garden City, Kansas.

Spoehr (1926) believed that the correction of translocation and respiration in determining the rate of photosynthesis is necessary.

Pickett (1937) studied the photosynthetic behavior extensively on apple varieties by different methods and stated
that no entirely satisfactory method for determining the rate of
photosynthesis has been devised. The reasons for this are obvious. Concomitant with the phenomenon of photosynthesis are
the processes of respiration and translocation, making it difficult, if not possible, to measure accurately the first and exclude the effects of the latter two.

MAT RIALS AND TODS

On April 3, 1948, 12 one-year-old trees each of Belle of Georgia, Halehaven, and Golden Jubilee varieties of peach were planted in the horticultural garden northeast of Dickens Hall. The trees were spaced 48 inches apart in three rows (each row for one variety) in equilateral triangular arrangement. The trees were divided into 3 blocks and each block consisted of 12 trees, 4 trees of each of the 3 varieties. Except the Chlordane treated tree of the Golden Jubilee variety in Block I and both the Chlordane and Fermate treated trees of the same variety in Block III, showing bacterial spot disease on the leaves, all were healthy and vigorous in growth.

Determining Photosynthetic Activity

Nearly 72 days after leaf buds started to grow, on July 6, 9:00 a.m., trees in Block I received the first spray. The first application of spray on Block III was on July 21, and July 31 on Block II.

Each of the 4 trees of the same variety in the same block was treated at random with either one of the treatments namely, 50 percent wettable D.D.T. (dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane), (1 and 1/2 lbs. per 100 gallons of water); Chlordane (1 lb. of actual Chlordane per 100 gallons of water); Formate (3 lbs. per 100 gallons of water); and Check (untreated). In order to spray the whole tree thoroughly and prevent any contamination of spray to the adjacent trees, a burlap shelter was placed around each

tree while it was being sprayed.

About 44 1/2 hours after the trees were sprayed, the first 100 Ganong leaf-punch discs were taken from each tree in the same block. A single punch was made on each of 100 different leaves, along the median portion of the shoots, from the same tree at each time. Samples were collected from the opposite sides on the same leaves twice daily; 5:30 a.m., 5:30 p.m., and put in weighed vials which were then quickly stoppered, weighed, placed in an electric oven at 100 to 104°C., and dried to constant weight.

The dry weight gain during the day is employed in determining the apparent rate of photosynthesis. The assumption was made that respiration and translocation proceed at the same rate during daylight hours as they do during the night. Thus, the lose in dry weight during the night was added to day gain in obtaining the total gain, or the corrected rate of photosynthetic activity.

Internal Structure of Leaves: Collecting and Imbedding

Trees, treatments, and apray schedules used in this study were the same as those used in the foregoing experiment in determining the rate of photosynthesis. The leaves selected were from the terminal part of the new shoots and which were sprayed when they were young, half-opened blade with light color.

Leaves were sprayed at weekly intervals. Six samples were taken

from 6 different leaves on the same tree of each variety one week after the third spray.

Portions of leaves collected for microscopic study were about 1 by 2 centimers in size, located near the midrib and midway between the basal and apical regions. These leaf pieces were placed in vials containing F.A.A. (formalin-aceto-alcohol) killing and fixing solution. In preparation for infiltration and embedding in paraffin, the samples were washed twice with 70 percent ethyl alcohol and then dehydrated with different percentages of tertiary butyl alcohol (Johansen, 1940) as shown in the following scheme:

Appro	ximate % of total alcohol	50	70	85	95	100
a.	Distilled water	50	30	15		
b.	95 % ethyl alcohol	40	50	50	45	
c.	Tertiary Butyl alcohol	10	20	35	55	75
d.	100 % ethyl alcohol					25

Only one slide was made from each leaf piece and all of them were cut in cross sections of 10 microns thickness. The total depth of palisade cells (P value) was directly measured with a calibrated eyepiece micrometer in a microscope. The value of the total depth of palisade cells on each section was the average of 20 readings made from the 20 individual locations.

0,		\Box_{4}	
5	エ	Δ_	BLOCK
Q4	T_4	a B	BLO
9	T	a a	
9	\perp	а 3	
5	$\perp^{\!\scriptscriptstyle{0}}$	\Box_{4}	SLOCK II
5	T	B 2	BLO
Q ₄	工4	<u>a</u>	
9	エ	ω_	
Q ₄	\perp	B B	
9	\top_4	\Box_{4}	BLOCKI
5	Te	മ്	O.B

50 percent wettable D.D.T. 50 percent wettable D.D.T. 50 percent wettable D.D.T. Chlordane. Chlordane. Fermate. Fermate. Chlordane. Fermate. Belle of Georgia were treated with Belle of Goergia were treated with Belle of Georgia were treated with with with with of Halehaven were treated with Halehaven were treated with Halehaven were treated with of Golden Jubilee were treated treated of Golden Jubilee were treated Belle of Georgia trees. Golden Jubilee trees. Golden Jubilee were Halehaven trees. Untreated Untreated Untreated of Jo Jo Of of Trees Trees Trees Prees Trees Trees Trees Trees Trees T 0 0 4 T 0 5 4 T 0 5 4

PRESENTATION OF DATA

On July 8, 11, 12, 1948, leaf discs were collected from trees in Block I for determining the rate of photosynthesis. The dry weight of total gain (total amount of day gain and night loss) is presented in Tables 1, 2, and 3, showing a result with fluctuation between treatments and varieties.

The amount of total gain of dry weight among treated and untreated trees of Belle of Georgia is shown in Table 1. It indicated that the Fermate treated tree only produced 15.30 grams of dry weight, and is considered to be the least total gain as compared with an amount of 19.99 grams as the highest gain from the untreated tree. With the amount of 18.11 grams for the D.D.T. treated tree and 19.62 grams for the Chlordane treated tree, they are considered to be intermediate class having the total gain comparatively higher than the tree sprayed with Fermate but lower than the amount produced by the untreated tree.

In Table 2, the data indicated that the tree sprayed with D.D.T. had the highest total gain of dry weight (21.90 grams) as compared with the lowest total gain (16.62 grams) from the untreated tree respectively.

In regard to the data shown in Table 3, for the variety of Golden Jubilee, it is found that the highest yield of dry weight (18.95 grams) falls on the tree which was sprayed with Fermate. On the contrary, the untreated tree had only 14.98 grams of total gain of dry weight and is considered as the

lowest rate of photosynthetic activity in this group. The amount of total gain of dry weight (16.07 grams) found on the D.D.T. treated tree is not greatly different from the total amount (16.74 grams) which was gained by the Chlordano treated tree.

According to the data shown in Tables 1, 2, and 5, the temperature seems not a factor affecting the variation of the total gain of dry weight among the three peach varieties used in this experiment. It is obvious that the temperatures during these days were fairly constant.

Variation in dry weight produced per square meter of leaf area per day, 44 hours after lat. spray application, variety-Belle of Georgia. Block I. Table 1.

Treatment	Date	1948	1. Tone	: Ory wt.	dry wt.	loss in (grams)	of dry wt. (grans)	** ** ***	Hoan (F.)	Max.: Mean : ter of (F.): (F.) : day
	July	8 5:30		M 44.89	89			88	78	oloudy
D.D.T.	July 1	11 5:			\$0 0 0	4.48	9.30	92	44	cloudy
	July 1	200	30 A	AM 42.86	12 53	10.07	8.81	87	44	cloudy
	July	8 5:30	1	NM 44.93	5.37			88	78	cloudy
Thock	July 1	11 5:	30 AI		4.49	5.67	11.04	85	77	cloudy
	July 1			H 44.63		4.46	8.95	200	77/7	olounde
			30 P		12.95	10.13	19.89	5	-	crong
	Ju.13	8 8:30	100	45.75	A 90			88	78	cloudy
	July 1	11 5:			070	5.33	9.53		-	
Chlordane		50 00	3:30 P		₩. 88.	20.07	10.09	8	1.1.	crondy
				PM 48.65	4.48	10.00	19.62	94	44	cloudy
	July	8 5						00	000	
	701300	11 33	200	PN 49.81	3.30	A 77.0	a	20	20	cloudy
Fermate		0 60	300		3.17	0		85	1.1	cloudy
	July 1	122	30	AM 44.15	75.57	4 0 0 E	7.82	87	777	cloudy
		3	3		000	200	40000			

Variation in dry weight produced per square meter of leaf area per day, 44 hours after lat. sprey application. Variety - Haleinven. Block I. Table 2.

Freatment	1948	(Grams):	dry wt. ore	Creme)	of dry	: (F.)	(F.)	wt.: Hax. : Hean : ter of
		42.16	20 20 20 20			නු	78	cloudy
D.D.T.	July 11 5:30 AM	41.02	5.11	5.93	11.52	85	44	cloudy
	July 12 5:30 AM 5:30 PM	45.52	3.86	11.20	21.90	877	44	cloudy
	July 8 5:30 AM	43.97	4.40			88	7/8	cloudy
Theck		43.00	8. S.	5.37	9.77	82	24	cloudy
untreate	July 12 5:30 AH 3:30 PH	46.53	27.50	3,52	16.85	87	44	cloudy
	MA 05:50 AM	40.83	GE-TT			00	700	almida
	3130	46.13	5.20			200	0	crongs
Chlerdane		40.50	\$. 83.	5.63	10.83	35	144	cloudy
	July 12 5:30 AM 3:30 PM	46.53	6.19	00.00	18.65	87	44	cloudy
	And See See AM	43.39	15.22			AA	78	alonda
	3:30	47.66	75.2		1	3)	Pomoso
Daymatha.		46.93	5.02	5.27	0° 00	36	Lilla	eloudy
20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	July 12 5:30 AM	41.98	4 22	10.22	19.97	87	111	cloudy

Variation in dry weight produced por square meter of leaf area per day, 44 hours after lat. spray application. Variety - Golden Jublice. Block I. Table 3.

Treatment	1 Date	and 51	0007	forma):	dry wt.	Creme	ose: (grams)	dry wt. Max.	rature Hean (F.)	ter of
	July	8 5:30			72. 8			88	78	cloudy
D.D.T.	July 11					5.41	8.95	200	Laks	elondy
	July 12	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	PER	46.72	3 59	80 80 80 80 80 80	16.07	84	44	eloudy
	July	8 5:30		44.75	200			88	78	cloudy
Theck	July 11		-	42.55	8 8	5 26	8.38	58	44	cloudy
mercanon	July 12	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Par	466.12	3 20	200 S	6.96	97	44	c].oudy
	July	8 5:30	8	44 28	4.58			38	78	cloudy
The melana	July 11			45.23	80.00	5.63	10.21	85	24	cloudy
	July 12	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	E E	45.46	3 44	3.15 3.78	6.53	94	77	cloudy
	July	8 5:30			5.64			88	78	cloudy
and the second	July 1	11 5:30			10 10	5.17	10.81	35	44	cloudy
S SELIO	July I	32 5130	AM	41.19	235	9.58	8-14	23	24	cloudy

The data of total gain of dry weight in a four-day record of Block II are given in Tables 4, 5, and 6.

Among the trees of the variety Belle of Georgia in Table 4, the Chlordane treated tree had the highest total gain of dry weight (26.70) compared with those trees treated with D.D.T. (24.35 grams), Fermate (21.41 grams), and untreated one (21.55 grams).

The highest total gain of dry weight in Halehaven variety in this block was the D.D.T. treated tree (23.29 grams) shown in Table 5. The Fermate sprayed tree had the least dry weight gain (19.38 grams) compared with the amount of 22.04 grams found in the untreated tree and 21.15 grams in the Chlordane treated one of the same variety.

The total gain of dry weight produced among trees of Golden Jubilee in Block II is presented in Table 6. The results showed that the Chlordane treated tree produced 24.65 grams of dry weight during the 4-day period to be the highest gain over any one of the other trees in the variety. The D.D.T. treated tree only had 15.62 grams of dry weight and is considered to be the lowest total gain in this variety compared with others.

According to the data given in Tables 4, 5, and 6, the Chlordane treatment had the least influence on the rate of photosynthetic activity of peach leaves.

Variation in dry weight produced per square mater of leaf area per day, 44 hours after let, sprey application. Variety - Belle of Georgia. Block II. Table 4.

Treatment	Date		1943	100	:(Grams):	dain or day wt.	OSS in (Crems) co	f dry	100 V	Hean (F.)	ex.: Hean :ter of F.): (F.) :day
	Aug.	10 4	50000	AN AM	50.51 53.42 49.88	C 0	80. 80.	6.45	777	70	cloudy
D.D.T.	Aug.	10		PH	53.17 49.50	ව දි	3.67	96.9	77	64	cloudy
	• ang.	0	300	PER	51.15	1 79	4.65	10.95 24.36	74	65	cloudy
	Aug.	10	5:30	AM	50.83	S. S.			24	70	cloudy
Chank	Aug.	di.	330	AM	50.35	3.05	2.78	7.08	78	67	partly
untreated)	Aug.	10	330	AM	50.93	5.10	2.47	हुट हुट हुट	44	20	partly
	Aug.	9	3130	PE	52.20	2.51	S 83	8 81 83 83	74	65	cloudy
	Aug.	80 e.	5:30	AM	48.47	03.83			44	70	cloudy
The Landone	vag.	40 p.	5:30	AM	48.01	7.33	2.96	5.46	78	67	partly
0770707070707070707070707070707070707070	Aug.	ED ES	300	AM	400,14	. 44 ES	5.98	13.09	24	64	partly
	.Say	0	3:30	RH	49.69	1.73	S-30	26.70	34	65	cloudy

Table 4 (concl.).

:Total gain: Je ners time: Charace : (Grams) : (F.) : (F.) : day	4.10 77 70 cloudy 11.46 77 64 partly cloudy 5.85 74 65 cloudy
Gain or loss in dr wt. (rams)	1.61 6.66 8.49 2.70 3.15
Ory wt.: (grems):	49 811.16 80.05 80.05 80.05 80.05 80.00 80.70
Date and time:	Aug. 5 5:30 AM Aug. 4 5:30 PM Aug. 5 5:30 AM Aug. 5 5:30 AM Aug. 6 5:30 AM
restment	erma to

Tuble 5. Variation in dry weight produced per square meter of leaf area per day, 44 hours after lat. spray application. Variety - Raichaven. Block II.

Treatment	: Date		1948	time	: (grems):	dain or 1 dry wt. Day gain:	Crams utont	of dry loss: (Grans	dry wt. H	Louper (F.):	Weam (T.	wt. rax. bem tor of s) :(I.):(I.) :day
	Aug.	10	5:30	AM	43.99	4.07				111	0/	cloudy
D. D. T.	Aug.	4	5:30	AM	44.77	A) C)	5.23	7.36	S.	7.8	67	partly
	Aug.	10	5130	AM	50.50	A. A.O.	8.13	8.11	r-l	777	64	partly
	Aug.	0	8 20	PRE	47.52	2.20	5.40	28.89	010	74	65	cloudy
	Aug.	60	5:30	AM	43.40	\$. 35.				777	20	cloudy
Theek meted	Aug.	41	3 30	AM	43.92	4.84	5 B3	8.18	8	78	6.7	partly
	Aug.	S		AM	46.31	3,36	0. 0.4.	7.00	0	44	64	partly
	· Bay	9		AM	46.46	3 07	್ಟಿ ಬಿ	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Z Z	74	65	cloudy
	Aug.	60	5:30	Alk	44.54	6.2 17.0				44	20	cloudy
the Samuel Committee	Aug.	di	5130	AM	45.40	4.73	2.99	6.34	**************************************	78	29	partly
MIRDIOTUS	Aug.	63	530		47.74	28.34	03 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	7.12	23	777	64	partly
	Aug.	0	3000	AM	47.23	50 ES	3,85	21.15	000	74	65	cloudy

Table 5 (concl.).

reatment	: Date and time :Dr. : 1948 :(g	60 17	1948 1948	fine	y wt.	Gain or loss in dry wt. (grams)	oss in (grams) Bight los	:Total gan: enve :of dry wt. ax. S: (grams) :(F.)	S. B.X.	: Hean : (F.)	:Charac- :ter of :day
	Aug. 3 5:30	10	5130	AM	44.56	87			44	202	cloudy
4	Aug. 4	4/11	2000	AH	44.00	200	2.81	7.54	78	67	partly
STIM OF	Ang.	10	5:30			1 03	1.777	7°44	44	64	partly
	· Bny	0	30000	PH	47.30	3.50	2.99	19.38	74	65	cloudy
						14.01					

Variation in dry weight produced per square mater of leaf area per day, 44 hours after lat, spray application. Variety - Golden Jubilee. Block II. Table 6.

Treatment	3	1948	(grams):	dry wt.	(grams)	iof dry wt	dry wt.: Max.: grems) :(F.):	(F.)	of dry wt.: Max.: Mean :ter of (grams) :(F.): (F.) :day
	Aug. 3	00 10	42.75	4.35			44	70	cloudy
8	Aug. 4	000	46.80	100	0.30	4.65	78	67	partly
D.D.T.	Aug. 5	១ភេ	45.86	1000	28.85	4.76	44	64	cloudy
	Aug. 6	3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	48.54	2 47	3,00	15.62	74	65	cloudy
	Aug. 3	5:30 AM	45.98	4.48			22	70	cloudy
Check	Aug. 4	000		200	3.66	8.14	78	67	partly
untreated	Aug. 5	300 8	48.08	10 c	1.78	08.9	777	29	cloudy
	Aug. 6	3:30		3	3.80	6.65	24	2	cloudy
		3:30 PM		15 42		21.59		3	Connection
	Aug. 3	5130 AM		4.76			77	20	cloudy
A confidence	Aug. 4	5:30		10.8	5.81	8.57	78	62	partly
ougorogue	Aug. 5	200		A 0.2	2.98	8.19	111	64	partly
	Aug. 6	3000	46.59	80.63	3.86	7-89	74	65	cloudy

Table 6 (concl.).

Aug. 5 5:50 AM 45.59 Aug. 5 5:50 AM 45.59 Aug. 5 5:50 AM 45.30 Aug. 5 5:50 AM 45.30 Aug. 5 5:50 AM 48.30 Aug. 5 5:50 AM 48.30 Aug. 5 5:50 AM 50.31 Aug. 6 5:50 AM 50.31 Aug. 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	ea tment	. Date	1.9	and time 1948	1mo	:(grams):		dry wt. (grams) :	of dry wt. Hax.: (gruns) :(F.):	Fax.	Cen (F.)	:ter of
4 5:30 AM 43.33 7.71 5.52 8.83 78 67 55.50 AM 43.33 8.33 7.31 1.76 9.47 77 64 55.50 AM 50.25 2.92 8.33 65.35 74 65 55.50 PM 50.31 1.39 8.33 65.55 74 65		Aug.	(c)	5:30	AH	45.59	5.51			777	70	cloudy
5 5:30 AM 49.53 2.02 1.76 9.47 77 64 55:50 AM 50.85 2.02 3.33 6.25 74 65 53:50 PM 50.81 1.89 3.33 6.25 74 65	wwa f.a	Aug.	AL EL	300	AM	43,38	12.77	5.52	8.83	78	67	pertly
6 5:30 AN 48.02 5.83 6.85 74 65 5:30 PN 50.31 1.39 24.55		-Sny	to to		AM	40.03	8	1.76	9.47	777	8	cloudy partly
		Aug.	(0)		PH		1.39	55.55	6.25	74	65	cloudy

Because the bacterial spot disease was found widely on the leaves of both (Chlordane and Fermate treated) trees in the Golden Jubilee, the leaf surface of the trees in Block III became limited for sampling. The three-day (July 23, 24, and 25) record of the total gain of dry weight in Block III is given in Tables 7, 8, and 9.

In this block, the tree of Belle of Georgia variety had the highest total gain of dry weight (22.19 grams) while the tree was untreated. The lowest gain of dry weight (19.91 grams) occurred on the D.D.T. treated trees shown in Table 7.

The data of total gain of dry weight produced by Halehaven trees are given in Table 8. The D.D.T. treated tree had the highest total gain of dry weight (18.97 grams). The least total gain (14.14 grams) was found on the untreated tree of this variety.

In Table 9, the data showed that the total gain of dry weight among trees of Golden Jubilee variety is relatively constant. Although the untreated tree had a relatively higher total gain (13.06 grams) than those of treated trees, however, the amount of total gain of dry weights found in the Chlordans treated tree (12.56 grams), Fermate (12.62 grams), and D.D.T. (12.23 grams) fairly close to each other.

So far as the total gain of dry weight is concerned from Tables 1 to 9, there was no consistent variation or difference between treatments and varieties in the same block as well as in the three blocks.

Variation in dry weight produced per square meter of leaf area per day, 44 hours after lat. spray application. Variety - Belle of Georgia. Block III. Table 7.

Treatment	: Date		and L1 1948	C.1100	:(grams):	Galn or day wt.	(grams)	Total of dry (gran	: Hax.	Liean (F.)	gainile perature: Charac- wt. Mar. : Mean : ter of m) : (F.) : (F.) : day
	July	53	5:30		47.60	5.26			40	65	clear
D.D.T.	July	65 Au	55.30		48.39	4.87	4.47	9.73	87	73	partly
	July	63 83	10 10	PA	47.95	6.94 IV 07	5.31	10.18	16	833	cloudy cloudy
	July	63	5:30		45.75	5			49	65	clear
Check (nutreeted)	July	23			44 99	200	4.97	11.18	87	73	partly
	July	50	333	N N N	43.98	4.15	6.01	11.01	IG	833	partly cloudy
	July	50	5:30	All	42.28	OM H			64	65	clear
Mr. T. Considerate	July	O3 24	3000	-	43.40	D . C . E	4.66	10.44	87	73	partly
and the same of th	July	63 ED	388	PR	46.50	3 3 1	5.78	21.25	16	55	cloudy partly cloudy
	July	83	5:30		45.06	5.27			64	65	clear
Do wood o	July	S	5:30		45.97	4.91	4.36	9.63	87	73	partly
2000	July	83	388	PH	45.18	8 39	5.70	20.61	16	83	partly

Variation in dry weight produced per square mater of leaf area per day, 44 hours after lat. spray application. Variety - Halehaven. Block III. Table 8.

Treatment	: Date		and time 1948		: (grams):	: Gain or 1 dry wt.	loss in (grams) :	Total gain of dry wt. (grams)	: Max : : : (F.) :	: Mean : (F.)	Hear : Hear : ter of : (F.) : (F.) : day
	July	53	5:30	AM	42.40	5.08			7.9	23	clear
8 6	July	05 4s	5:30	AM	45.53	A 03	3.95	9.03	87	73	partly
De De A e	July	63	5:50	AIII	43.21	e p	5.13	8.94	-	8	cloudy
			3:30	Pin	47.13	3.02		18.97	100	3	cloudy
	July	50	5:30	AW	40.34				000	200	
		1	3:30	101 H	44.11	3.77	1		2	0	CTORL
Check (untreated	Jaly	05 4h	5:30	AM M	41.30	3.17	18°23	6.58	87	73	partly
	July	25	5:30	AM	40.08		4.39	7.56	0	8	cloudy
			3:30	PM	42.56	64.0		14.14	T P	e co	cloudy
	July	50	5:30	AII	43,46				-	-	
			3:30	Mid.	47.34	3.88			67.	650	clear
	July	200	5:30	AM	43,68		3,68	7.56	00	1702	and the same
Chlordane			3:30	PM	48,25	4.59			0	3	Dartely
	July	03	3 30	Par	42.56	4.08	5. 66.0	17.34	16	88	partly
	July	23	5:30	AM	43,33				79	65	clear
			3:30	Pil	46.81	3.48			-	3	2000
	July	CS All	5:30	AM	43.40	200	3.41	68.9	87	73	partly
r earme de	July	63	6:30	AM	41.98	000	60.9	8.70	16	50	cloudy
			3:30	201 D-1	45.84	3.35		15,59	,		cloudy

Variation in dry weight produced per square meter of leaf area per day, 44 hours after lat. spray application. Variety - Golden Jubilee. Block III. Table 9.

Treatment	: Date a	and time 1948		: Dry wt.:	dry wt. (G	(Grams)	: Total gain : of dry wt.		: kean : (F.)	ax. : Wean : tor of : (F.) : (F.) : day
	July 25	200	10	45 21	2.43			79	65	clear
D.D.T.	July 24	200	N.A.	43.03	1 E	2.36	4.84	87	73	partly
	July 25	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	132	41.44	3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	4.64	7,39	16	88	cloudy cloudy
The section of the se	July 23	5:30	N.N.	45.81				200	GE .	alan
		3:30	HE I	43 62 62	2.91	0	11	0	3	CACAL
one car	To Arms	38	100	49.55	3.53	00.2	##**C	87	73	partly
	July 25	3:30	N 26	44 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 4	800	4.12	13.06	16	833	cloudy cloudy
	July 23	5:30	ALE	42.32				49	9	clear
		3:30	E.	44.79	20.07	-	1			
Chlordane	July 24	3 50	PM	45.42	50.53	29.	2.09	87	73	partly
	July 25	3:30	N M	44 23	80 ES	4. 63.	12.56	16	833	partly
	July 23	5:30	AM	44 .53	25.52			79	65	olear
Ta mus de	July 24	55.30	ALE	44.12	80.8	2.73	5,25	87	73	pertly
	July 25		NE NE	46.38	3.17	4.14	7.37	16	833	partly

Table 10. Average of dry weight produced per square meter of leaf area per day by leaves for a period of ten days from all varieties and blocks.

Treatment :	Average gain per day (grams)	: Mean of day gain :and night loss per day : (grams)
D.D.T. Check (untreated)	4.03 3.79	8.33
Chlordane Fermate	4.14	8.61 8.12

From Tables 1 to 9, the average day gain and total gain of dry weight for all varieties and blocks were computed and are shown in Table 10. The rate of photosynthesis of those trees that were treated with Chlordane had the highest average of dry weight produced per square meter of leaf area per day. Those treated with other treatments ranked: D.D.T., Fermate, and Check (untreated).

Table 11. Analysis of variance of dry weight in apparent photosynthesis after first spray.

Source of variation	Degree of freedom	: Sum of : squares	: Vari-	; F
Varieties	2	9,67762	4.83881	4.468#
Preatments	3	2,25606	0.75202	0.694
Blocks	2	4.2904	2.1452	1,981
Variety x Treat-				
ment interaction	6	6.95653	1.15942	1.071
Days in the same				
block	7	39,90533	5,70076	5.264
Remainder	99	107,22166		
Total	119			

* Significant

Table 12. Analysis of variance of dry weight in the rate of corrected photosynthesis after 1st. spray.

Source of variation	: Degree of : freedom	1 Sum of : squares	: Vari-	1 P
Varieties Treatments Blocks	2 3 2	39.57432 4.72335 38.8291	19.78716 1.57445 19.4146	8.083** 0.644 7.936**
Variety x treat- ment interaction Days in the same	6	26.35501	4.3925	1.795
block Remainder	66	161.46312	2.44641	0.120m
Total	83			

* Significant

** Highly significant

www Very highly significant

An analysis of variance of dry weight in determining the rate of photosynthesis was made as in Tables 11 and 12. Due to the variation within varieties and treatments, an attempt is made to determine whether varieties differed in their response to the spray materials and whether the spray materials affected differently on their varieties. On the bases of 5 percent and 1 percent level in F Table, the significant variation between treatments should be 2.76 or 4.13 and between the variety and treatment interaction should be 2.25 or 3.12. The analyses shown in Tables 11 and 12 indicated that there are no significant differences among the rate of photosynthesis, either on varieties or treatments, because the F values are far below the 5 percent and 1 percent level.

In regard to the study of internal structure, leaves were collected at one week after the application of the third spray. The measurements of the total depth (P value) of palisade cells

are presented in Tables 13 and 14.

According to the data shown in Table 14, it is apparent that Fermate had the relatively higher reducing effect upon the total depth (P value) of palisade cells compared with other treatments.

Both Halehaven and Golden Jubilee varieties were found normally possessing palisade cells from two to three layers instead of two layers found mostly in the variety of Belle of Georgia. The Fermate treated leaves had the least depth, lesser number, and looser arrangement of palisade cells.

Table 13. The depth of palisade cells from each cross section of leaf samples cellect-ed after the application of the third spray.

Treatment	* Variety		oach sec	tion of 1	section of leaf samples	(microns)
	0.0	: Block	X	100	lock II	100
		66,132,	54.525	69.722,	72,895	68,136, 65,464
	Belle of Georgia	57,281,	65.547	72.478,		
		58.784,	58.784	71.726,		
		52.354.	59.860	85.671.		
D.D.T.	Halehaven	63.627,	52,020	80.4943		
		52.855,	52.271	68.637,		62.458, 64.00
		61.706,	63,386	73,829,		
	Golden Jubilee	59,953,	77.058	71.977,		
		56.446,	56.446	82.915,		
		75.233	67.468	85.921,		
	Belle of Georgia	75.651.	73.146	106,963,		
		68.971,	73.515	71,225,		
Theck		67.885,	68.586	81.496,		
untreated)	Halchaven	67.718,	64.211	75.484,		
		61.038,	67.301	87.341,	_	
		68.470,	71.392	93.520,		
	Golden Jubilee	72.728,	70.975	92.601,		
		57.865,	76.319	101.870.	_	
		85.504,	65,460	89-361,		
	Belle of Georgia	72.144,	80,076	84.168,		
		79.241,	66.800	89.679	-	
		62.040,	66.967	88.999		
Chlordane	Halehaven	67.050,	56.529	72.812,		
		58,450.	62.124	87.257.		- 4
		75.317.	66 -215	78.397		80.679, 81.4
	Golden Jubilee	61,289,	67.301	86.172,		-
						THE MAN WAY AND THE

37

Table 13 (concl.).

Treatment	: Variety	A	verage total de each section o	section of leaf	of leaf samples	(micron	anc
	00	Bloc	Z Z	Blo	CK II	1 Block	ok III
		60.704.		76.569,		58,450,	74.148
	Belle of Georgia	53.038,		82 .247		66.633,	59,619
)	58.199,		75.818,		59.285,	61.790
		56.112.		86.422,		55.941,	58.784
Permate	Halehaven	58.366.		70.808		57.114.	66,967
		43.670,	55.026	87.675,	02.025	57.448,	62,291
		57.030.		86.339		58.734,	63,293
	Golden Jubilee	56,112,		74.482,		59.953,	62.625
		53,690.		58,532,		61.289,	64.128

Average total depth of palisade cells from cross sectional leaf samples collected after the application of third spray. Table 14.

Treatment	Variety	: cells from	cells from each variety (microns	1	(microns)	blocks warfeties and crons) blocks (microns
D.D.T.	Belle of Georgia Halehaven Golden Jubilee Mean	60 18 55 50 89 33 59 33	76.07 77.56 77.75	669 669 664 664 888 888	68.43 65.08 66.19 67.84	67.24
heck untreated	Belle of Georgia Ralchaven Golden Jubilee	72 30 66 09 69 68 75 83	88 04 89 37 85 69	777 74 72 56 73 45	79.36 72.94 77.41	76.54
Thlordane	Belle of Georgia Halehaven Golden Jubilee	74 54 62 19 66 68	85.064 80.73 80.73 80.73	76.17 73.73 74.43	78.78 72.33 73.92 75.01	75.01
ermate	Belle of Georgia Halchaven Golden Jubilee	54 54 57 50 57 50	76.08 80.32 74.62	63.32 59.42 61.68	66.60 64.65 65.33	65.33

Table 15. Analysis of variance of depth of palisade cells after the application of the third spray.

Sources of variation	Degree of freedom	Sum of squares	Vari- ance	P
Varieties	2	742.21605	371.108025	8.7300
Treatments	3	5023.05484	1674.351613	39.42***
Blocks	8	10404.01024	5202.00512	122.50
Variety x Block	4	179.09124	44.77281	1.05
Treatment x Block	6	322,32666	53.72111	1.03
Variety x Treatment Block x Variety x	6	237.12602	39,52100	0.93
Treatment	12	455.88974	37.990811	0.89
Remainder Total	180 215	7643 . 53669	42.46437	

#Significant

assvery highly significant

In Table 15, an analysis was made to determine whether varieties differed in their F values or the spray materials had an effect differently on them. On the bases of 5 percent and 1 percent level in F Table, this analysis indicated that the variability between treatments is very highly significant, because the F value between treatments shown in Table 15 outnumber larger than 1 percent or 5.88 in the F Table. There was no significant difference between varieties and treatments interaction. It is obviously true that those leaves treated with Fermate spray had the least depth of palisade cells compared with others in all varieties.

An attempt was made to determine whether the effect on the internal structure may be directly correlated with the rate of photosynthesis. Leaf samples were collected at one week after the application of the third spray for this purpose. By the

data given in Tables 16, 17, 18 and 19, correlation between the total depth (P value) of palisado cells and the rate of photosynthesis is computed. The analysis of correlation (r) between the rate of corrected photosynthesis and the total depth of palisade cells is -0.255 indicating that there was no significant correlation between them. For this reason, there is no analysis table given here for explanation.

Variation in dry weight per square meter of leaf area per day after the third application of smray. Variety - Belle of Georgia. Block II. Table 16.

Treatment	: Date and : 1948	100	and t	in	Care out	time: My of loaf	in dry me	matter:1	in dry matter:in dry wt.	Tempe (F.)	: (F.):	in dry matter in dry wt. Generalize Character (Crams) : Max. : in. : of its : in. : of : in. : in. : of : in. : in. : of : of : of : o
	Ans.	0.3			. 10	50 SO	277	10201	The state of the s	10		
	Ome	2	3:30		Pic	52.76	010			99	38	cloudy
	AUG.	24		-	AM	49.74		3.02	5.19			
D.D.T.)		5:5		900 C.4	53,39	3.65			96	34	cloudy
	Aug.	(S)		-	6.16	50.58		2.81	0.46			
			63		200	53,25	20.03			8	88	clear
	Aug.	27		-	AM	50.06		3,19	5.86			
	,		50		Md	54.68	4.62		17.51	8	130	partly
							13.11					c.loudy
	Aug.	23		0	100	55.43						
			3:3	7 0	No.	56.60	1.17			66	98	cloudy
Check	Aug.	63 As		9	100	55.29		1.31	2.48			
untreated	()		3:30	0	200	58.04	2.75			96	84	clear
	Aug.	50		9	100	55.58		2.46	5.21			
			50	9	100	56.85	1.27			88	83	clear
	Aug.	27	50	0	221	54.16		89.83	30.00			
			63	9	M	58.64	4.40		11.65	8	130	partly

Table 16 (concl.).

Treatment	: Date and : 1948	80		time	Dry w	ibry wt. per in of leaf area (grams)	: Gain or : In dry m : (grams : Day :	Gain or loss :Total gain: (grams) : (grams) : loy : Might: sain : loss:	otal gain dry wt (grams)	Temper Hax.	Hin. (F.)	: Max.: Min.: of (F.): (F.): day
	Aug.	50 50		000	110	53.10	1,25			88	98	clear
hlordene	Aug.	45		200	NA MIN	52 .03	20.55	1.52	2.77	96	88	clear
	Aug.	25	100 10		MAN	53.74	3,75	1.65	4.21	60	2	ساهام
	Aug.	500		-	111	52.06		3.43	5.18			-
			3:30			55.36	3.30 8.86		12.16	06	4.0	partly
	Aug.	63		30	AM	51.45	0 0			00	00	2000
	Ann	AC	3 10	200	AM	50 000 500 000	10.0	2.59	5.96	20	3	Jearn
Permate	9		100		PH.	54.78	2.55			96	200	clear
	Aug.	(1) (1)	10 10	-	Par	55.19	2.31	1.90	4.45	200	83	clear
	Ang.	23	300	-	PH H	55.79	3.79	3.10	15.50	8	79	partly

Table 17. Variation in dry weight per square moter of leaf area per day after the third application of sprey. Variety - Halehaven. Block II.

Treatment:	Date and	198	and the	time	ing of leaf area (grame)	fn dry : (grams :Day :	Might:	in dry matter in dry wt. Tempora (Grams) : (Grams) : Max. : Day : Might: (F.) : (Rain : loss:	Tempor (F.)	(F.)	Min.: of (F.): day
	Aug.	55	5:30	AR	46.01	2 54			66	98	cloudy
6	Aug.	28	5130			2 44	2.16	4.70	80	70	Almida
Voltoke.	Aug.	50				O PARTIE	1.30	5.24	2	5	Croner's
)					03			88	83	clear
	Aug.	03		_			2,42	5.04			
			3:30	Pil		10.13		14.98	06	770	partly
	Aug.	503	5:30	AM							
				-		6.42			66	98	cloudy
heck	Aug.	24		_			1.68	8.10			
untroated	1		3:30	-		3.17			96	85	clear
	Aug.	200	5:30	AM	49.13	1	2.08	5.25	-		
			00			03	-		Si	왕	clear
	Aug.	23	3				55.55	6.44	-	-	
			3:30	100		3.67		19.79	8	49	cloudy

Table 17 (concl.).

Treatment	: Date and	9 800		fmo	TO THE	included the per	th dry	: (greens) : (greens) :	oral gain for wt. (grams)	Tempe	rathire:	Character
	00 00	1948	0	-	are	area (grams)	: Day :	Might:			(F.):	day
	Aug.	83	5:30		AM	44.82						
			8:50	0	35	47,69	5.14			88	98	clear
	Aug.	Si Si	50	OA	i	45,30		2.68	5.80			
Chlordane			3:3	O P	in	48.74	3.44			96	8	clear
	Aug.	25	53	OA	M	46.38		2.36	5.80			
			3:3	0	210	49.19	2.81			C)	88	clear
	Aug.	27	5:30		AM	46.51		89.8	5.49			
)		3:30		110	49.56	3.05		17.09	06	79	partly
		-					12.44					cloudy
	Aug.	60	5:3	A	N.	46.54						
			3:3	0 0	100	48.61	2.07			66	98	clear
	Aug.	20.00	5:30	OA	312	46.96		1.65	3.72	1		
Fermate			3:30	0	101	49.97	2.01			96	20	clear
	Aug.	05 (1)	5:30	O O	200 3	48.08	-	1-33	4.30	8	8	-
	Anne	00	5 . 3 C	> 0	m 20	A8 97	20.20	O GA	B. 47	200	3	CTOST.
	· Sme	3	3 : 30		100	000	1.95		14.09	06	62	partly
)				5					cloudy

variation in dry weight per square meter of lesf area per day after the third annitation of smars. Variety - Golden Jubilee. Block II Table 18.

Treatment	Date	248 848	d th	9	Dry area	Date and time: M2 of leaf 1948 : area (grams)	fn dry me (frame)	metter:	fan or loss :rotal gain (frams) (grams) loy : Mint:	.Temper	Win. (F.)	matter in dry w. "Sumpersture Gnaracter 18. " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "
	Aug.	63	5:30	AM OF		47.13	30.8			86	36	cloudy
D.D.T.	Aug.	24	5:30	AN	-	51.11	2.79	1.83	4.85	88	8	cloudy
	Aug.	50	5:30	All	test test	49.86	20.23	1.25	4.04	88	88	clear
	Aug.	54	5:30	An Pu		51.87	3.70	3.90	15.00	8	48	partly
	Aug.	50	5:30	AM		45.57	77.12	of the second se				CTOTO
		3	3:30	Plant C	dead or	48.46	00° 83	90	4 99	88	98	cloudy
untrested	Aug.	73	3:30	Par		40.98	3.51	000	P I	96	34	clear
	Aug.	22	5:30	A PI	-	51.16	50.00	2.16	5.67	SS	88	clear
	Aug.	27	5:30	AM C		40.26	4.56	08.80	6.24	06	43	pertly
						200.000	000		R/OT	2	2	

Table 18 (concl.).

Treatment	Date and	1948	and the	True	E PE	time: Mry wt. per time: Mr of leaf :area (grams)	in dry me (Erema	for a toss	(grams)	Temper	win.: (F.):	that or matter in dry wto Themperature Character (frame) : Mar. : Min. : of (frame) : Min. : of (frame) : Min. : of (frame) : of (
-	Aug.	83		1	AM	47.97					-	
		9		0	28 7	48.47	0.50	000	00	88	98	clear
hlordane	Aug.	1/2	3:30	-	i ii	400000000000000000000000000000000000000	S. 33	7.00	20.0	96	98	clear
	Aug.	63		-	HAH	48.41	0.48	0.87	3.20	8	8	clear
	ATIO	27	5 m	4 4	1 31	47.38	2	3.57	6.05	2	3	45000
			3:30		100	50.44	2000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000		11.27	06	43	partly
	Aug.	23	5:3	A C	365	47.70						
			3:30	-	PM	50.54	200			66	88	clear
	wag.	204		-94	200	48.24	-	2.30	5.14	00	90	
ormate.	Ang	C	5 30	~ ~	N N	49.34	00.00	20.55	6.16	R	5	Japan
	0	1		-	100	53,31	3.97			83	83	clear
	Aug.	23		O A	9	48.17		5.14	9.11			1
			53	0	200	51,59	3.42		20.41	8	7.9	partly

Table 19. Average of total depth of palleade cells after third application of spray. Block II.

Treatment	: Variety	Means of total depth : of palisade cells on : cach variety (microns):	Means of total depth : Heans of total depth of of pellacde cells on all coch warfety (microns): warfettes (microns)
D.D.T.	Belle of Georgia Helchaven Golden Jubilee	76.07 75.56 77.75	75.79
Check (untreated)	Belle of Georgia Halehaven Golden Jubilee	88.04 79.87 89.16	85.69
Chlordane	Belle of Georgia Halehayen Golden Jubilee	85.64 81.06 80.73	81.48
Fermate	Belle of Georgia Halchaven Golden Jubilee	76.08 80.32 74.62	77.01

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

In Tables 1 to 9, the data were presented for the study of effects among four treatments including D.D.T., Check (untreated), Chlordane and Fermate on the rate of photosynthesis of Belle of Georgia, Halehaven and Golden Jubilee, three peach varieties. In determining the rate of photosynthesis, the values, obtained by the dry weight method, were expressed into apparent and corrected photosynthesis. The rate of photosynthesis was expressed as grams of dry weight produced per square meter of leaf area per day.

The fluctuations in apparent photosynthesis from day to day of both the untreated and the sprayed trees were shown for all varieties and blocks.

By mathematical calculation, the dry weight produced per square meter of leaf area per day over the whole period of this experiment, shown in Tables 1 to 9, indicated that there was no consistent reducing effect between any one of the treatments upon the rate of photosynthetic activity of the three peach varieties. Analyses of variance of dry weight in the rate of photosynthetic activity were given in Tables 11 and 12, showing that there are no significant differences between treatments. The variability between treatments and varieties also showed no significant differences.

The values of measurements from the depth of palisade cells were used for the study of internal structure of the leaf. No attempt was made to calculate the ratio of the internally

exposed surface to the exterior surface (R value) in this study. The depth of palisade cells of untreated trees is markedly different from the treated trees shown in Tables 13 and 14. It is obvious that Fermate had the highest reducing effect on the depth of palisade cells of peach leaves.

The least depth of palisade cells which was reduced by the application of Fermate spray coupled with less compact, more slender cells in arrangement of internal structure. With the effect of reduction on the depth of palisade cells, the treatments formed an order as: (1) Fermate, (2) D.D.T., (3) Chlordane, and (4) Checks (untreated) consistently.

The variability of the depth of the palisade cells between treatments is very highly significant as shown in Table 15.

There is no significant correlation between the depth of palisade cells and the rate of photosynthesis of the samples collected after the third application of sprays, if it can be assumed to be a negative result in the analysis of variance for correlation which has been mentioned precedingly. There are two possible factors to set in governing the results in determining the rate of photosynthesis: (1) There are different potential powers in manufacturing of photosynthetic products between trees in the same variety as well as in different varieties. From Tables 1 to 9, trees that were sprayed with Chlordane or Fermate fluctuated irregularly over the experimental period indicated that there obviously was a

to obtain the better result, it might be suggested to have an extra set of leaf sample collection before the application of spray for the standard readings of their individual dry weight producing power as comparison for the further readings.

(2) The size of particles and the amount of residue of spray materials deposited on the leaf surface should be considered in dry weight method for the determination of photosynthesis. Murphy (1939) washed the leaf samples with distilled water immediately preceding sampling on the sour cherry experiment. Unfortunately, this method was impracticable in this experiment because it was impossible to collect 1,200 leaf discs in a given limit of time before sun rises in the morning and after

different individual manufacturing power among them. In order

According to Pickett (1937), no entirely satisfactory method for determining the rate of photosynthesis has been devised. It is really true. Photosynthesis is a so complicated vital process in the green plant. It is undoubtedly still to be a valuable subject for further research.

3:30 in the afternoon before the sun sets.

SUBS ARY

The influence of three organic spray materials including 50 percent wettable D.D.T., Chlordane, and Fermate was tested on their effects on the photosynthetic activity of peach foliage. The results may be summarized as follows:

- 1. There was no significant difference between treatments affecting the rate of photosynthetic activity in the same variety or among varieties.
- 2. The depth of palisade cells in all varieties was reduced by the application of Fermate, likewise, the 50 percent wettable D.D.T., also had the reducing effect on the palisade cells respectively.
- 3. There was no significant correlation between the depth of palisade cells and the rate of photosynthesis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to express his grateful acknowledgment to Dr. William F. Pickett, Head of the Department of Horticulture for suggesting this problem and for instruction in earrying out the work; to Professor Robert J. Barnett for aid in the manuscript; to Dr. H. C. Fryer for explanation and supervision of the statistical analysis of the data, and to Pera Beth Swenson for assistance in statistical analysis.

LITERATURE CITED

- Avery, G. S., Jr., Structure and development of the tobacco leaf. Amer. Jour. Bot. 20: 565-592. 1933.
- Bergen, J. Y., Transpiration of sun leaves and shade leaves of <u>Olea curopes</u> and other broad-leaved evergreens. Bot. Gaz. 35: 285-296. 1904.
- Christopher, E. P., The effect of flotation sulphur spray on the carbon dioxide assimilation of apple leaves. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc., 35: 149-151. 1935.
- 4. sulphur on apple trees. Amor. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc., 40: 65-67. 1942.
- Clements, E. S., The relation of leaf structure to physical factors. Amer. Micro. Soc. Trans., 26: 19-102. 1904.
- Clements, F. E., and F. L. Long, Further studies of elongation and expansion in Helianthus phytometers. Plant Physiol. 10(4): 637-660. 1935.
- Clore, W. J., The effect of bordeaux, copper, and calcium aprays upon carbon dioxide intake of Delicious apple leaves. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc., 3: 177-179. 1936.
- 8. Cowart, F. F., Apple leaf structure as related to position of the leaf upon the shoot and to type of growth. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc., 35: 145-148. 1935.
- Eames, A. J., and L. H. MacDaniels, An introduction to plant anatomy. 2nd. ed. New York. McGraw-Hill. 364 pp. 1947.
- 10. Ganong, W. F., Plant Physiology. Henry Holt & Co. pp. 107-109. 1908.
- 11. Haberlandt, G., Physiological plant anatomy (Trans. from 4th German ed. by montager Drummond) London. Macmillan & Go., Ltd. 1777 pp. 1983.
- Heinicke, A. J., How lime-sulphur spray affects the photosynthesis of an entire ten-year-old apple tree. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc., 35: 256-259. 1938.
- 15. The influence of sulphur dust on the rate of photosynthesis of an entire apple tree. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc., 36: 202-204. 1938.

- Heinicke, A. J., and N. F. Childers, Influence of respiration on the daily rate of photosynthesis of entire apple trees. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc., 34: 142-144. 1936.
- Heinicke, A. J., and M. B. Hoffman, An apparatus for determining the absorption of carbon dioxide by leaves under natural conditions. Science, 77: 55-88. 1935.
- Hoffman, M. B., The effect of certain spray materials on the carbon dioxide assimilation by McIntosh apple leaves. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc., 29: 358-393.
- 17. Carbon dioxide assimilation by apple leaves as affected by lime-sulphur sprays. II Field Experiments, Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. Froc., 30: 169-175. 1954.
- The effect of lime-sulphur spray on the respiration rate of apple leaves. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc., 33: 175-176. 1935.
- 19. Johansen, D. A., Plant Microtechnique. lst. ed. McGraw-Hill. New York. pp. 129-145. 1940.
- Lutman, B. F., Cell size and structure in plants as affected by various inorganic elements. Vt. Agr. Expt. Stat. Bul. 333. 54 pp. 1934.
- 21. McDougall, W. B., and W. T. Penfound, Ecological anatomy of some deciduous forest plants. Ecology. 9(3): 349-353. 1928.
- 22. Miller, E. C., Plant Physiology. 2nd. ed. McGraw-Hill. Hew York. 1938.
- 23. Mounts, B. T., The development of foliage leaves. Iowa Uni., Studies in Nat. Hist. 14(5): 1-19. 1932.
- Murphy, L. M., The effect of certain fungicides on the photosynthetic activity of sour cherry leaves. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc., 57: 375-378. 1939.
- Penfound, W. T., Plant anatomy as conditioned by light intensity and soil moisture. Amer. Jour. Bot. 18: 558-572. 1931.
- 26. The anatomy of the castor bean as conditioned by light intensity and soil moisture. Amer. Jour. Bot., 19(6): 558-546. 1932.

- Pickett, B. S., Respiration of peach leaves as influenced by some spray materials. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc., 51: 196-198. 1948.
- 28. Pickett, W. F., A comparative study of the intercellular space of apple leaves. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc., 30: 156-161. 1934.
- 29. The relationship between the internal structure and photosynthetic behavior of apple leaves. Kan. Agr. Expt. Stat. Tech. Bul. 42. 1937.
- 50. ____, and A. L. Kenworthy, The relationship between structure, chlorophyll content, and photosynthesis in apple leaves. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc., 37: 371-373. 1940.
- Pickett, W. F., and C. J. Birkeland, Common spray materials after the internal structure of apple leaves. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc., 38: 158-162. 1941.
- Pickett, W. F., and C. J. Birkeland, Further studies on the
 effect of common spray materials on the internal structure
 of apple leaves. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc., 40: 69-70.
 1942.
- Pieniasek, S. A., and E. P. Christopher, The effect of some spray materials on the rate of apparent photosynthesis of apple leaves. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc., 44: 105-106. 1944.
- Sachs, J., Ein Beitrag Zur Kenntniss der Ernahrungsthatigkeit der Blatter, Arbeiten bot. Inst. Wurzburg, 3: 1-35. 1884.
- Shank, C. K., The leaf and stem anatomy of <u>Cormus florida</u> in relation to light exposure. Amer. Midland Nat. 19(2): 417-426. 1938.
- Southwick, F. W., and N. F. Childers, The influence of bordeaux mixture on the rate of photosynthesis and transpiration of apple leaves. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc. 37: 374. 1939.
- 37. Spoehr, H. A., Photosynthesis. Chemical Catalog Co. Inc. New York. 393 pp. 1926.
- 58. Weaver, J. E., and F. E. Clements, Plant Ecology. 2nd. ed. McGraw-Hill. New York, and London. 1938.
- Waugh, J. G., Some investigations on the assimilation of apple leaves. Plant physiol. 14(3): 465-477. July, 1939.